



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,383	04/19/2004	Hun-Yang Park	P69617US0	9715

136 7590 04/04/2005

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

DANG, HUNG XUAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2873

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,383	PARK, HUN-YANG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung X. Dang	2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Information Disclosure Statement

1. If applicant is aware of any relevant prior art, he/she requested to cite it on form **PTO-1449** in accordance with the guidelines set forth in M.P.E.P. 609.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Huang** (6,352,342).

Huang discloses auxiliary eyewear with laterally distant magnets on lens retaining mechanism comprises main eyeglasses 14 including an adjacent pair of main lens rims with main lenses, and a main nose piece for interconnecting said main lens rims; auxiliary eyeglasses 12 including auxiliary lenses, and an auxiliary nose piece for interconnecting the auxiliary lenses; and a separately detachable connection bar 126 for detachably connecting said auxiliary eyeglasses to said main eyeglasses, said connection bar coupled to the main lens rims of the main eyeglasses such that upper portions of the main lens rims of the main eyeglasses are interconnected thereby, wherein said connection bar includes a pair of main eyeglass seating portions for

detachably connecting said connection bar to said upper portions of said main eyeglasses.

Huang does not teach that the auxiliary eyeglasses including a pair of auxiliary lens rims.

Auxiliary eyeglasses have long been designed with the general objective of blocking the sun or other sources of bright light, from one's eyes. Numerous designs of rimless auxiliary eyeglasses and rims auxiliary eyeglasses have been developed, differing only in aesthetic feature. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the auxiliary eyeglasses, of the Huang, rimless auxiliary eyeglasses or rims auxiliary eyeglasses for the purpose of providing aesthetic feature.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

3. Claims 1-5 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Huang** (6,352,342) in view of **Ku** (6,089,708).

Huang discloses all the limitations as stated above with the exception of the auxiliary eyeglasses being mounted detachably to the connection bar.

Ku, however, discloses the auxiliary eyeglasses 2 being mounted detachably to the connection bar 3 (see figures 1, 2 and the related disclosure.)

Because Huang and Ku are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose of removal of the auxiliary eyeglasses from the main eyeglasses as disclosed by Ku would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Huang.

Art Unit: 2873

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses frame, such as the one disclosed by Huang, with the auxiliary eyeglasses being mounted detachably to the connection bar, such as disclosed by Ku for the purpose of removal of the auxiliary eyeglasses from the main eyeglasses.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

3/05



HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800